

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE**

THE HONOURABLE MADAM) FRIDAY, THE 16TH
)
JUSTICE GORMAN) DAY OF APRIL, 2021

**IN THE MATTER OF THE NOTICE OF INTENTION
TO MAKE A PROPOSAL PROCEEDING OF
DREXLER CONSTRUCTION LIMITED, A
CORPORATION INCORPORATED UNDER THE
ONTARIO *BUSINESS CORPORATIONS ACT***

ORDER

**(procedural consolidation, extension of time to file a proposal,
debtor-in-possession financing approval and charge, administration charge,
sale of redundant equipment, approval of activities, sealing)**

THIS MOTION made by Drexler Construction Limited (“**Drexler**”) for the orders herein was heard this day at 80 Dundas St., London, by teleconference due to COVID-19.

ON READING the affidavit of Jerome Drexler sworn April 12, 2021 (the “**Drexler April Affidavit**”) and the first report dated April 12, 2021 (the “**First Report**”) and the Supplementary Report dated April 14, 2021 (the “**Supplementary Report**”) of Albert Gelman Inc. in its capacity as proposal trustee (in such capacity, the “**Proposal Trustee**”) to the notice of intention to make a proposal (“**NOI**”) proceedings of Drexler, Folmur Construction (2004) Limited (“**Folmur**”), and Down Under Pipe and Cable Locating Limited (“**Down Under**”, and, together with Drexler and Folmur, the “**Companies**”), and upon hearing the submissions of counsel for the Companies and the Proposal Trustee as

well as those other parties present, as indicated in the counsel slip, no other parties being present although duly served as appears from the affidavit of service, filed:

NOTICE AND SERVICE

1. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the time for service of the motion record in respect of this motion, the First Report and the Supplementary Report is abridged and validated so that the motion is properly returnable today, and that further service thereof is dispensed with.

PROCEDURAL CONSOLIDATION

2. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that, without prejudice to the right of any party to seek or oppose substantive consolidation in respect of any or all of the following proceedings:
 - a. the present proceeding,
 - b. the NOI proceeding of Folmur, estate and court file no. 35-2721875, and
 - c. the NOI proceeding of Down Under, estate and court file no. 35-2721896,

(collectively, the “**NOI Proceedings**”)

the NOI Proceedings are hereby procedurally consolidated, and the Proposal Trustee is authorized and directed, save to the extent the Proposal Trustee deems it unnecessary or undesirable, as the case may be, to administer the NOI Proceedings on a consolidated basis for all purposes in carrying out its administrative duties and other responsibilities under the *Bankruptcy and Insolvency Act* (the “**BIA**”), including, without limitation, the following:

- d. sending notices to creditors of one or more of the Companies pursuant to one consolidated notice,
- e. calling and conducting any meetings of creditors of the Companies pursuant to one combined advertisement and one meeting,
- f. issuing consolidated reports in respect of the estates of the Companies,
- g. preparing, filing, advertising and distributing any and all filings and/or notices relating to the administration of the estates of the Companies on a consolidated basis, and
- h. bringing motions to this Honourable Court on a consolidated basis.

3. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the single court file number 35-2721716 (the “**Consolidated Court File**”) and the following title of proceeding be assigned to the NOI Proceedings:

“

**IN THE MATTER OF THE NOTICES OF INTENTION
TO MAKE A PROPOSAL PROCEEDINGS OF
DREXLER CONSTRUCTION LIMITED, FOLMUR
CONSTRUCTION (2004) LIMITED, AND DOWN
UNDER PIPE AND CABLE LOCATING LIMITED,
CORPORATIONS INCORPORATED UNDER THE
ONTARIO *BUSINESS CORPORATIONS ACT***

”

4. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Companies file a copy of this order in the court file for each of the NOI Proceedings but that any other document required to be filed in any of the NOI Proceedings shall hereafter only be required to be filed in the Consolidated

Court File. For avoidance of doubt, any motion, application or action in respect of the Companies or any of them shall be brought and filed in the Consolidated Court File and if so brought and filed it shall be deemed brought and filed in each of the NOI Proceedings, as appropriate, without prejudice to any rules of civil procedure or otherwise that are applicable. For further avoidance of doubt, this motion and this order are deemed made and granted in each of the NOI Proceedings.

5. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the procedural consolidation of the NOI Proceedings does not:

- a. affect the separate legal status and structures of any of the Companies,
- b. cause any of the Companies to be liable for any claim for which it otherwise would not be liable, or
- c. affect the Proposal Trustee's or a creditor's right to seek to disallow any claim, including on the basis that such claim is a duplicative claim.

EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE A PROPOSAL

6. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that, pursuant to Section 50.4(9) of the BIA, the period within which a proposal may be filed on behalf of any or all of the Companies is extended to and including Tuesday, June 1, 2021.

DIP FINANCING AND CHARGE

7. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Companies are and each of them is hereby authorized and empowered to obtain and borrow under a credit facility from Corwin

Mortgage Capital Inc. (the “**DIP Lender**”) in order to finance the Companies’ operations, capital expenditures and restructuring, which shall be on the terms and subject to the conditions set forth in the amended term sheet (the “**Term Sheet**”) attached as Appendix “A” to the Supplementary Report, filed.

8. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Companies be and hereby are authorized to borrow, under the aforementioned credit facility, (i) up to \$750,000, (ii) a further \$250,000 with the written consent of the Proposal Trustee in consultation with Royal Bank of Canada (“**RBC**”), and (iii) any further amounts with the written consent of RBC or further court order.

9. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Companies are authorized and empowered to execute and deliver such credit agreements, mortgages, charges, hypothecs and security documents, guarantees and other definitive documents (collectively, the “**Definitive Documents**”), as may be reasonably required pursuant to the terms of the Term Sheet, and the Companies are authorized and directed to pay and perform all of their indebtedness, interest, fees, liabilities and obligations to the DIP Lender under and pursuant to the Term Sheet and the Definitive Documents as and when the same become due and are to be performed in accordance with their terms, subject to paragraph 21 of this order but notwithstanding any other provision of this order.

10. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the DIP Lender is granted a charge (the “**DIP Lender’s Charge**”) on the Companies’ interest in and to the real estate properties municipally known as 5274 Wellington Road 27, Rockwood, Ontario (the “**Wellington Property**”) and 183 Catherine Street, Rockwood, Ontario (the “**Catherine Property**”,

and, together with the Wellington Property, the “**Properties**”). The DIP Lender’s Charge shall not secure an obligation that begun to exist before this order is made. The DIP Lender’s Charge shall have the priority set out in paragraph 13 hereof.

11. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that, notwithstanding any other provision of this order:

- a. the DIP Lender may take such steps from time to time as it may deem necessary or appropriate to file, register, record or perfect the DIP Lender’s Charge or any of the Definitive Documents, and
- b. the foregoing rights and remedies of the DIP Lender shall be enforceable against any trustee-in-bankruptcy, interim receiver, receiver or receiver and/or manager of the Companies or any of them or the Properties.

12. **THIS COURT ORDERS AND DECLARES** that the DIP Lender shall be treated as unaffected in any plan of arrangement or compromise filed by the Companies or any of them under the *Companies’ Creditors Arrangement Act* (Canada), or any proposal filed by the Companies or any of them under the BIA, with respect to any advances made under the Term Sheet or the Definitive Documents.

13. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the DIP Lender’s Charge shall rank in priority to all security interests, trusts, liens, charges and encumbrances, claims of secured creditors, statutory or otherwise encumbering either or both of the Properties (each, an “**Encumbrance**”) in favour of any individual, firm, corporation, governmental body or agency, or any other entities (all of the foregoing being each a “**Person**”), save and except

any Encumbrance in favour of RBC and any Encumbrance ranking in priority to RBC's Encumbrance.

14. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the filing, registration or perfection of the DIP Lender's Charge shall not be required, and that the DIP Lender's Charge shall be valid and enforceable for all purposes, including as against any right, title or interest filed, registered, recorded or perfected subsequent to the DIP Lender's Charge coming into existence, notwithstanding any such failure to file, register, record or perfect.

15. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Companies or any of them shall not grant any Encumbrance that ranks in priority to, or *pari passu* with the DIP Lender's Charge, save with the prior written consent of the Proposal Trustee and DIP Lender, or further court order.

16. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Term Sheet, the Definitive Documents and the DIP Lender's Charge shall not be rendered invalid or unenforceable and the rights and remedies of the DIP Lender thereunder shall not otherwise be limited or impaired in any way by (i) the pendency of these proceedings and the declarations of insolvency made herein, (ii) any application(s) for bankruptcy order(s) issued pursuant to BIA, or any bankruptcy order made pursuant to such applications, (iii) the filing of any assignments for the general benefit of creditors made pursuant to the BIA, (iv) the provisions of any federal or provincial statutes, or (v) any negative covenants, prohibitions or other similar provisions with respect to borrowings, incurring debt or the creation of Encumbrances, contained in any existing loan documents, lease, sublease, offer to lease or other agreement

(each, an “**Agreement**”) which binds the Companies, and notwithstanding any provision to the contrary in any Agreement:

- a. neither the creation of the DIP Lender’s Charge nor the execution, delivery, perfection, registration or performance of the Term Sheet or the Definitive Documents shall create or be deemed to constitute a breach by the Companies or any of them of any Agreement to which it is a party,
- b. the DIP Lender shall have no liability to any Person whatsoever as a result of any breach of any Agreement caused by or resulting from the Companies or any of them entering into the Term Sheet the creation of the DIP Lender’s Charge, or the execution, delivery or performance of the Definitive Documents, and
- c. the payments made by the Companies or any of them pursuant to this Order, the Term Sheet or the Definitive Documents, and the granting of the DIP Lender’s Charge, do not and will not constitute preferences, fraudulent conveyances, transfers at undervalue, oppressive conduct, or other challengeable or voidable transactions under any applicable law.

17. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the DIP Lender’s Charge, to the extent it would apply over leases of real property in Canada, shall only be a charge in the interest of the Companies (or any of them) in such real property leases.

ADMINISTRATION CHARGE

18. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that (i) counsel for the Companies, (ii) the Proposal Trustee, and (iii) counsel to the Proposal Trustee be and hereby are granted a charge (the “**Administration Charge**”) on the Companies’ interest in the Properties in connection with any unpaid fees and disbursements up to a maximum amount of \$100,000.

19. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Administration Charge shall rank in priority to all Encumbrances in favour of any Person save and except (i) any Encumbrance in favour of RBC and any Encumbrance ranking in priority to RBC’s Encumbrance, and (ii) the DIP Lender’s Charge.

SALE OF REDUNDANT EQUIPMENT

20. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Companies be and hereby are authorized to sell the equipment marked in Confidential Exhibit “3” to the Drexler April Affidavit (the “**Redundant Equipment**”) and such further equipment as RBC may agree in writing may be sold, provided that the sale price for each such piece of equipment is no less than the appraised amount for that piece of equipment as set out in Confidential Exhibit “2” to the Drexler April Affidavit, or if not appraised then in an amount that RBC and any other secured creditor with an interest in the equipment may approve, by:

- a. retaining Canam-Appraiz Inc. (“**Canam**”) to sell one or more pieces of the Redundant Equipment, or
- b. by private sale of one or more pieces of the Redundant Equipment.

21. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that with respect to the proceeds of any sale of Redundant Equipment:

- a. if the item sold is subject only to the secured claim of RBC as the first-ranking secured creditor generally, for which the Proposal Trustee has already obtained an independent legal opinion confirming its validity and enforceability, then the proceeds of sale less Canam's costs of disposition as approved by RBC for that item shall be paid to RBC immediately and without further court order in repayment of the Companies' obligations to RBC, up to the amount of the same.
- b. if the item sold is subject to two or more possible secured claims as determined by the Proposal Trustee, then (i) the Proposal Trustee shall obtain an independent legal opinion on the validity, enforceability, and rank of each claim and communicate such opinion to each secured claimant, and (ii) if the affected secured creditors agree on the opinion obtained by the Proposal Trustee, the proceeds of sale less Canam's costs of disposition as approved by the affected secured creditors for that item shall be immediately paid in accordance with that opinion and without further court order in repayment of the Companies' obligations to the applicable secured creditor(s) up to the amount of the same, but if there is no such agreement, then the Companies or the Proposal Trustee shall make a motion to the court for advice and direction on the payment of the net proceeds.

APPROVAL OF PROPOSAL TRUSTEE'S FEES AND ACTIVITIES

22. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the First Report and the Supplementary Report and the activities described therein be and are hereby approved, provided, however, that only the Proposal Trustee in its personal capacity, and only with respect to its own personal liability, shall be entitled to rely upon or utilize in any way such approval.

SEALING

23. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that Confidential Exhibits "1", "2" and "3" to the Drexler April Affidavit be and hereby are sealed pending the earlier of a court order approving a proposal to creditors in respect of all of the Companies under the BIA, or further court order.

MISCELLANEOUS

24. **THIS COURT ORDERS** that the Companies pay the reasonable accounts, fees and disbursements of the Proposal Trustee, counsel to Proposal Trustee and counsel to the Companies, in each case at their standard rates and charges and as they become due, as part of the costs of these proceedings and post-filing obligations. Counsel to the Companies is authorized to immediately apply such payments in satisfaction of their reasonable accounts, fees and disbursements. In the case of the Proposal Trustee and counsel to the Proposal Trustee, such payments shall constitute advances applicable on account of such reasonable accounts, fees and disbursements as may be approved by this court or through taxation under the BIA.

25. **THIS COURT REQUESTS** the aid and recognition of any court, tribunal, regulatory or administrative body having jurisdiction in Canada or in the United States, to give effect to this order and to assist the Companies, the Proposal Trustee and their respective agents in carrying out the terms of this order. All courts, tribunals, regulatory and administrative bodies are respectfully requested to make such orders and to provide such assistance to the Companies and to the Proposal Trustee, as an officer of this Court, as may be necessary or desirable to give effect to this Order, to grant representative status to the Proposal Trustee in any foreign proceeding, or to assist the Companies and the Proposal Trustee and their respective agents in carrying out the terms of this Order.

Kelly A. Gowen

**IN THE MATTER OF THE NOTICE OF INTENTION TO MAKE A
PROPOSAL OF DREXLER CONSTRUCTION LIMITED, A
CORPORATION INCORPORATED UNDER THE ONTARIO
BUSINESS CORPORATIONS ACT**

Estates No. 35-2721716

**ONTARIO
SUPERIOR COURT OF JUSTICE
Proceeding commenced in LONDON**

**ORDER
(procedural consolidation, extension of time to file a
proposal, debtor-in-possession financing approval
and charge, administration charge, sale of
redundant equipment, approval of activities, sealing)**

GOLDMAN SLOAN NASH & HABER LLP
480 University Avenue, Suite 1600
Toronto (ON) M5G 1V2

R. Brendan Bissell (LSO# 40354V)
Tel: (416) 597-6489
Fax: (416) 597-3370
Email: bissell@gsnh.com

Joël Turgeon (LSO #80984R)
Tel: (416) 597-6486
Email: turgeon@gsnh.com

Lawyers for Drexler Construction Ltd., Folmur
Construction (2004) Ltd., and Down Under Pipe and
Cable Locating Ltd.